to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

United States District Court

May 15, 2023 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

for the

Southern District of Texas

United States of America)
v.)
Juan Martin Torres) Case No. 4:23-CR-00187
Defendant	- ′)
ODDED OF DETE	NTION PENDING TRIAL
ORDER OF DETE	NIION FENDING I KIAL
Part I - Elig	gibility for Detention
Upon the	
☐ Motion of the Government attorney purs	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
Motion of the Government or Court's ow	vn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detenti and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142	on is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact (i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and I	Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
	S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable onditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person as have been met:
	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximu	m term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 05 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(d) any felony if such person has been	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
	yo or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses in (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal cion of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a c	rime of violence but involves:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convi	cted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
8 3142(f)(1) or of a State or local offense the	hat would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the	he
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defenda	
committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	ırs
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term	ı of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is	
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
_	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the	
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ing,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention heari	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assurable the safety of any other person and the community.	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assurable the safety of any other person and the community.	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	re

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
 Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The United States moved to detain the Defendant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2). The Court held a hearing on the issue of detention and took judicial notice of the information in the Pretrial Services Report. After considering the Pretrial Services Report, the testimony presented at the hearing, the proffer of the Defendant, and the factors contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the Court concludes that the United States has met its burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant poses a serious risk of flight. The Court bases this conclusion on the following findings and considerations:
(1) The charged offense is illegal reentry in violation of Title 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b). The Defendant has more than one previous deportation and was under a life-time from re-entering the United States. Despite the ban, the Defendant re-entered the United States. The Defendant faces a lengthy sentence and deportation if convicted.
(2) The weight of the evidence is the least important factor the Court considers when deciding the issue of detention. However, the Government presented clear and convincing testimony that the Defendant was previously deported following a felony conviction and was in the United States illegally when found.
(3) The history and characteristics of the Defendant. The Defendant is not a citizen of the United States and has two daughters living in Mexico. His ties to a foreign country, previous deportations, life-time ban from re-entering the U.S., lengthy potential sentence, and almost certain deportation following either a sentence or acquital, all create a serious risk of flight or non-appearance. Testimony at the hearing established that the Defendant had an outstanding warrant for approximately six years. The fact that the Defendant was not arrested for six years demonstrates that he has the ability to avoid detection by law enforcement.
The Court finds that the United States has met its burden to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant presents a serious risk of nonappearance/flight. Additionally, because the Defendant has continued to reenter the United States despite multiple deportations and a lifetime ban from re-entering the United States, the Court has no confidence that the Defendant would comply with any conditions of release.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Date: May 15, 2023 United States Magistrate Judge